

Denver Rescue Mission and the "Jesus Saves" Shelter: An Examination of Services, Lawsuits, and Controversies

I. Introduction

The Denver Rescue Mission (DRM) stands as a prominent and enduring institution within Denver, Colorado's landscape of services for individuals experiencing homelessness. Founded over a century ago, it operates multiple facilities and programs aimed at addressing the complex needs of this vulnerable population. Among its most visible locations is the Lawrence Street Shelter, widely recognized by its iconic neon sign and the resulting nickname, "Jesus Saves".¹ This facility, along with DRM's broader network, provides essential emergency services, rehabilitation programs, and transitional housing, positioning the organization as a critical resource in the city's response to homelessness.³

This report provides a comprehensive overview of the Denver Rescue Mission, with a particular focus on its Lawrence Street Shelter operations. While acknowledging the vital services DRM offers, the primary objective is to critically examine documented legal challenges, public controversies, and criticisms surrounding the organization. The scope encompasses an analysis of DRM's stated mission, history, range of services, and key facilities, but delves deeper into significant lawsuits concerning disability access, employment discrimination, and religious freedom. It further explores public controversies related to LGBTQ+ policies, reported shelter conditions, employee relations, and questions of organizational accountability and effectiveness.

The analysis relies on publicly available information, including investigative news reports, official organizational statements and website content, legal filings and summaries, external evaluations from charity watchdogs, and user-generated content such as online reviews and discussion forums. It is important to note that the Denver Rescue Mission, as is common practice, often maintains a policy of not commenting on pending litigation, a factor considered in evaluating the available information.⁵ This report aims to synthesize these diverse sources to provide a nuanced understanding of the Denver Rescue Mission, balancing its stated purpose and activities with the significant challenges and criticisms it faces.

II. Denver Rescue Mission: Operations and Programs

A. Mission, History, and Faith-Based Identity

Established in 1892, the Denver Rescue Mission holds the distinction of being the oldest full-service Christian charity dedicated to serving the poor and needy within the Rocky Mountain region.² Its longevity has cemented its position as a cornerstone of Denver's social

safety net for over 130 years.⁸ The organization's core mission is explicitly rooted in its Christian faith: "changing lives in the name of Christ by meeting people at their physical and spiritual points of need with the goal of returning them to society as productive self-sufficient citizens".⁷ This dual focus on both material and spiritual well-being permeates its operations and public identity.⁴

DRM defines its operational philosophy through a "Christ-Centered Approach," emphasizing principles such as engaging mercifully without judgment, working holistically across biological, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions, speaking truthfully, and believing in the possibility of miraculous transformation through God's work.⁹ The statement "Jesus is our model" appears frequently in its communications, underscoring the centrality of Christian tenets to its work.¹¹

This deeply integrated faith identity extends to its internal policies. The Denver Rescue Mission requires all employees to sign its Statement of Faith, aligning themselves with the organization's specific Christian beliefs and mission.¹² This requirement, while reinforcing its identity as a faith-based organization, has become a focal point for scrutiny and legal challenges related to employment discrimination, as explored later in this report. Furthermore, while basic emergency services are offered without religious prerequisites, participation in some deeper, more structured programs, like the New Life rehabilitation program, requires clients to be "Open to the message of Jesus Christ".¹⁴ This integration of faith into service delivery and employment practices is fundamental to understanding DRM's operations and the context surrounding the controversies it has faced. It establishes a potential tension between its religious doctrines and the secular legal and social expectations often associated with organizations receiving public funds and serving diverse populations.

B. Facilities and Scope of Services

The Denver Rescue Mission operates a multifaceted network of facilities and programs designed to meet a spectrum of needs among those experiencing homelessness.

- **Lawrence Street Shelter ("Jesus Saves"):** As DRM's most iconic site, this downtown facility primarily functions as an overnight shelter for men, accommodating up to 200 individuals in beds, with capacity expanding to over 300 during inclement weather through the use of cots.¹ While women and children can access services during the day, overnight shelter here is restricted to men.¹ The shelter provides three meals daily, potentially serving up to 700 meals in total.¹ Additional emergency services include clothing distribution, access to food boxes, referrals to other agencies, and prayer support.¹ An on-site clinic offers free medical, dental, optical, and chiropractic care, though this is primarily targeted towards participants in DRM's structured programs.¹ Daily chapel and Sunday church services are available, but importantly, attendance is not mandatory to receive a meal or an overnight bed.¹ The Lawrence Street location also serves as a crucial entry point for men seeking to join the long-term New Life rehabilitation program.¹
- **Lawrence Street Community Center (LSCC):** Functioning as a central hub adjacent to

the shelter, the LSCC is where new guests register for bed assignments and access daytime services.⁸ It offers meals, restrooms, and an outdoor patio area.⁸ Intake specialists assess individual needs to direct guests appropriately.⁸ The LSCC also facilitates transportation to other DRM facilities like the Holly Center for overflow overnight shelter and hosts outreach programs connecting guests with legal aid and VA services.⁸

- **The Crossing:** This larger facility serves as a key site for DRM's transitional and rehabilitation efforts.⁴ It houses the long-term New Life Program (NLP) for men and the Bridge program, which assists employed individuals and families (including single parents and couples) in transitioning out of homelessness.⁸ The Bridge program requires participants to pay fees and meet minimum income qualifications, emphasizing personal responsibility.¹⁴ The Crossing also offers temporary housing for NLP graduates, interns, and visitors.⁷ Services include case management, life-skills training, financial management workshops, counseling, Bible studies, and youth programs.¹⁶
- **48th Avenue Center:** Operated in partnership with the City of Denver, this facility provides 24/7 shelter specifically for men.⁷ It offers three meals a day, restrooms, and access to a range of support services, including counseling, various classes (anger management, art), and medically assisted treatment (MAT).⁸
- **Holly Center:** Situated within the Ministry Outreach Center, this facility provides year-round emergency overnight shelter, often serving as an overflow location with a capacity of 200 beds.⁸ Guests are typically transported from the LSCC, and storage of personal belongings is not permitted.⁸
- **Ministry Outreach Center:** This location houses DRM's administrative offices and central warehouse.⁴ It serves as a distribution point for essential items like clothing, food boxes, household goods, and furniture to individuals and families in need, including those referred by case managers from partner organizations.¹⁴
- **Harvest Farm (Wellington, CO):** A significant component of the New Life Program, Harvest Farm is a 100-acre rural facility offering a residential rehabilitation program for up to 72 men recovering from addiction.⁴
- **Fort Collins Rescue Mission:** Extending DRM's reach north of Denver, this facility provides shelter, meals, case management, and supportive services in Fort Collins.²
- **Other Programs:** DRM operates **Family Services** offering housing assistance and mentoring², **Next Step Services** for individuals needing less intensive support than the full NLP¹⁷, **Champa House** (mentioned historically) for single mothers in the NLP⁴, and **Global Ministry Outreach** providing consultation to other missions.⁴

This extensive network demonstrates DRM's capacity to offer a continuum of care, from immediate emergency needs to long-term rehabilitation and transitional support. However, the clear differentiation between low-barrier emergency services and structured programs with specific eligibility criteria (like income for Bridge or religious openness for NLP) creates varying levels of access and potential friction points, particularly concerning issues of accessibility and mandatory requirements explored later.

C. Key Developments and Initiatives

In recent years, the Denver Rescue Mission has undertaken several significant developments and launched new initiatives, reflecting adaptation to changing needs, external pressures, and strategic priorities.

- **Lawrence Street Shelter Renovations (~2021):** A major overhaul of the historic "Jesus Saves" building was completed, funded in part by a grant from the City of Denver.¹¹ A primary focus was achieving compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), addressing long-standing accessibility issues. This included installing a new entry ramp and an elevator, allowing individuals with mobility impairments full access to the building for the first time.¹⁹ Other upgrades aimed at enhancing the guest experience and dignity included new, unstacked beds and mattresses, improved lighting, fresh paint, renovated bathrooms, updated commercial laundry facilities, and a new HVAC system.¹¹ Safety and security were bolstered with an updated fire suppression system, enhanced camera surveillance, and personal lockers with charging stations.¹⁹ Functionality improvements featured a redesigned entrance area for better guest reception and a new private meeting space for case management consultations, intended to prevent new arrivals from getting "lost in the crowd".¹⁹ The chapel area was also renovated to serve multiple purposes, including services, classes, and meetings.¹⁹ These renovations were presented as creating a safer, cleaner, brighter, and more hopeful environment, crucial for supporting guests on their path out of homelessness.¹¹ The timing relative to disability-related legal pressures is noteworthy.
- **Complex Case Team (Launched October 2023):** Under a contract awarded by the City of Denver's Department of Housing Stability (HOST), DRM established a specialized team to provide intensive case management.²⁰ This team targets individuals facing significant barriers to housing stability, such as complex medical conditions, mental health challenges, or traumatic brain injuries, who struggle with traditional case management approaches.²⁰ The model features smaller caseloads, allowing for more hands-on, wraparound support, including transportation assistance, help with appointments and errands, advocacy, and coordination across various systems like healthcare, law enforcement, and Veterans Affairs.²⁰ Referrals are accepted from service providers for clients who are literally homeless and express a desire for assistance.²¹ Since its inception, the team has worked with 110 clients, reportedly securing sustainable housing for 50 as of March 2025.²⁰ However, the program has specific referral limitations based on a client's ability to perform certain activities of daily living independently (e.g., bathing, dressing, toileting).²¹
- **Prevention Program Pilot (Planned 2025):** DRM announced plans to launch a pilot program focused on homelessness prevention, particularly for families with children.²² This "upstream" approach aims to intervene before families lose their housing, based on the premise that prevention is more cost-effective (citing an average one-time cost of \$1,400 per family) and aligns with the organization's spiritual values regarding

children.²² The program anticipates providing rapid resolution assistance, such as help with rent arrears, deposits, utility bills, or transportation costs.²²

- **Shift to 24/7 Shelter Model:** Driven initially by the space constraints imposed by COVID-19 safe distancing requirements, DRM accelerated its pre-existing plans to convert some shelters, like the 48th Avenue Center, to 24/7 operations.²³ This shift allowed for expanded services beyond just overnight stays and represented a significant operational change.²³ The organization has also indicated it is exploring providing hospitality services throughout the day, moving beyond a purely overnight model.²⁵

These developments illustrate DRM's capacity for evolution, often spurred by external factors like city funding opportunities, public health crises, or legal pressures. The renovations directly addressed documented accessibility shortcomings, while new programs target specific, challenging subpopulations or aim for systemic impact through prevention. However, the effectiveness and equitable accessibility of these new models, including the specific eligibility criteria for programs like the Complex Case Team, warrant ongoing monitoring and evaluation.

III. Legal Landscape: Lawsuits and Litigation

The Denver Rescue Mission has been involved in several significant lawsuits and legal actions, raising questions about its compliance with anti-discrimination laws, employment practices, and the handling of religious freedom issues within its programs.

A. Disability Discrimination Allegations (ADA Compliance)

A major legal challenge currently facing DRM stems from allegations of systemic discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

- **The HAND Lawsuit (Filed February 2025):** A lawsuit was filed against the City and County of Denver by Disability Law United, Newman|McNulty LLC, and the Brooklyn Law School's Disability and Civil Rights Clinic.⁵ The suit was brought on behalf of the advocacy group Housekeys Action Network Denver (HAND) and several individuals with disabilities experiencing homelessness.⁵ It alleges that Denver, through its contracts with homeless service providers, including the Denver Rescue Mission, fails to provide accessible shelter and services, thereby violating the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and state anti-discrimination laws.⁵ The plaintiffs contend they were forced to sleep on the streets due to these failures.⁵ HAND stated it had to divert its own resources to support disabled individuals denied access to or ejected from city-funded shelters.⁵
- **Specific Allegations Involving DRM:** The lawsuit includes specific instances allegedly occurring at DRM facilities. Plaintiff Christopher Haworth, who has cerebral palsy and uses a wheelchair, claims he was denied admission at two separate DRM-operated shelters because accessible beds were unavailable or not reserved.⁵ He further alleges the Lawrence Street Shelter denied him entry because staff considered his epilepsy a

liability.²⁶ Another plaintiff, identified as JW, was reportedly not allowed to refrigerate his essential diabetes medication at DRM shelters, leading to near-fatal emergencies when he attempted to use it at room temperature.²⁶ Critically, the lawsuit alleges that prior to the recent installation of an elevator at the Lawrence Street Shelter (reportedly less than a year before the Feb 2025 filing), individuals using wheelchairs or walkers had to "crawl upstairs" to reach designated areas.⁵

- **Context and Status:** The lawsuit was filed after negotiations between advocates and the city reportedly stalled.²⁶ The plaintiffs seek primarily injunctive relief to compel the city and its contractors to comply with accessibility requirements, rather than a large financial settlement.²⁶ As of the latest available reports (February-March 2025), the lawsuit was recently filed and remains pending.⁵ No court decisions or settlements related to this specific case have been documented in the provided materials.
- **DRM and City Response:** Consistent with its policy, the Denver Rescue Mission stated it would not comment on pending litigation, while affirming its 133-year history of striving to serve with care and compassion.⁵ The City of Denver, through the Department of Housing Stability, similarly stated it is always working to improve the shelter system but directed inquiries to the city attorney's office, which also declined comment on pending litigation.⁵
- **Related Factors:** These allegations surface despite DRM's recent, highly publicized renovations at Lawrence Street, which explicitly aimed to improve ADA compliance through features like a new ramp and elevator.¹¹ DRM's website also mentions lowering barriers through facility accessibility.²⁷ However, a negative online review from 2012 described a fall and injury allegedly due to the lack of a simple non-slip mat, followed by the loss of expensive medical equipment (\$8,000 breathing support system) while hospitalized.²⁸ Furthermore, the referral criteria for DRM's new Complex Case Team include limitations based on a client's ability to perform basic self-care tasks independently.²¹ The filing of the HAND lawsuit *after* the completion of major ADA-focused renovations suggests that plaintiffs believe significant accessibility barriers persist, potentially related to insufficient infrastructure changes, inadequate staff training, discriminatory policies in practice, or a failure to meet the scale of need for accessible options.

B. Employment Practices Litigation

DRM's employment practices have also come under legal scrutiny, particularly concerning discrimination based on protected characteristics.

- **The Kyle Fischler Case (Complaints Filed July 2023):** Kyle Fischler, a former director at DRM, filed discrimination complaints with both the Denver Anti-Discrimination Office (DADO) and the Colorado Anti-Discrimination Office.²⁹ DADO subsequently issued charges against DRM, alleging discrimination based on Fischler's sexuality (gay), religious views, and disability (PTSD, anxiety, depression).²⁹
- **Allegations:** Fischler contends that his termination in late 2022 was pretextual.⁶ While

the official reasons cited were tardiness and missing shifts (which Fischler partially acknowledges, attributing lateness to PTSD/depression exacerbated by the work environment), he alleges the true motivation was discrimination.⁶ He claims the issues began after his supervisors learned he had attended a gay speed dating event.⁶ Fischler further alleges that DRM leadership created a hostile work environment and refused his requests for reasonable accommodation for his mental health conditions, which he states were triggered by disciplinary meetings about his sexuality and the implementation of controversial anti-LGBTQ+ policies in the employee handbook.²⁹ He believes he was fired as retaliation after DRM faced public backlash over the handbook policies.⁶

- **Context of LGBTQ+ Handbook Controversy:** Fischler's termination occurred approximately one month after DRM publicly reversed its newly implemented employee handbook policy that explicitly banned "acting on same sex attraction" and "rejection of one's biological sex".⁶ This policy, adopted in Fall 2022, caused significant controversy as it directly conflicted with non-discrimination clauses in DRM's multi-million dollar contracts with the City of Denver, as well as state and federal laws.²⁹ Following media reports and pressure from city officials, DRM removed the specific discriminatory language.²³ The proximity of Fischler's firing to this public dispute forms a core part of his retaliation claim.
- **DRM Response and Status:** DRM's CEO, Brad Meuli, expressed disappointment regarding the accusations but maintained the organization's policy of not commenting on pending employee litigation.²⁹ DRM stated it continues to operate under the revised employee policies approved by the city.⁶ The organization's official Anti-Discrimination Policy states it complies with applicable laws but also explicitly reserves its right, based on Title VII, state law, and the Constitution, to hire only candidates who agree with its Statement of Faith and align with its Christian mission.¹² As of July 2023, DADO was investigating the claims, and Fischler retained the option to pursue civil court action after exhausting administrative remedies.²⁹ No further updates on the case's progression were available in the provided materials. The case underscores the inherent conflict between DRM's assertion of its rights as a "distinctly faith-based Christian organization" ¹² and its obligations as a city contractor bound by secular non-discrimination laws covering sexual orientation, gender identity, and disability.

C. Religious Freedom Challenges

The intersection of DRM's faith-based programming and its service to individuals referred by the justice system led to a significant legal challenge concerning religious freedom.

- **The Mark Janny Case (Settled 2022-2024):** Mark Janny, an atheist parolee, was required by his parole officer to reside at the Fort Collins Rescue Mission, a DRM affiliate.² Janny filed a lawsuit against his parole officer and Gregory Carmack, the former director of the Fort Collins facility, alleging violations of his First Amendment religious freedom rights.³²

- **Allegations:** Janny asserted that participation in the Rescue Mission's program mandated involvement in religious activities, including worship services, Bible studies, and faith-based counseling.³² As an atheist, he objected to these requirements but was told participation was necessary to avoid violating parole.³² When he declined to attend religious services, he was expelled from the facility, which his parole officer treated as a parole violation, resulting in Janny's re-incarceration for 150 days.³²
- **Legal Proceedings and Defense:** The district court initially ruled against Janny. However, on appeal (with representation from Americans United and the ACLU), the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the lower court, finding that Carmack, despite being an employee of a private religious nonprofit, could potentially be considered a "state actor" when enforcing program rules on a parolee mandated to be there.³² This ruling allowed the case to proceed toward trial. Carmack, represented by the Alliance Defending Freedom (ADF), argued that the program integrated religion and other services, that Janny disqualified himself by refusing the religious components, and that Carmack was not a state actor.³⁴ ADF contended that forcing faith-based nonprofits to secularize their programs to accept parolees was unconstitutional.³⁴
- **Outcome:** The case concluded through settlements. Janny settled with the former director, Carmack, in January 2022, receiving damages and leading to the withdrawal of ADF's petition to the U.S. Supreme Court.³² In June 2024, Janny finalized a settlement with his parole officer for \$100,000 in damages.³²
- **Policy Context:** While DRM maintains that chapel attendance is voluntary for basic emergency services like meals and shelter at Lawrence Street¹, its New Life Program specifies that participants should be "Open to the message of Jesus Christ".¹⁴ The Janny case highlights the critical distinction when participation is mandated by the state (parole). The settlements suggest significant legal risk associated with requiring religious activities as part of programs serving individuals under state supervision.

D. Other Legal Actions and Settlements

Beyond these major cases, other legal and administrative actions indicate additional areas of scrutiny.

- **National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) Case:** An NLRB case against Denver Rescue Mission (Case Number: 27-CA-295882) was filed in May 2022 and closed in May 2023 through a Unilateral Settlement Agreement.³⁶ The allegations included violations of the National Labor Relations Act sections 8(a)(1) (related to interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of their rights to organize and engage in concerted activities, including retaliation/discipline/discharge) and 8(a)(4) (related to discrimination against an employee for filing charges or giving testimony under the Act), as well as coercive statements.³⁶ While specifics of the dispute and settlement terms are not detailed, the existence of the case and settlement points to labor relations issues escalating to the level of formal federal charges.
- **Kleiber (PS) v. Denver Rescue Mission:** A pro se plaintiff, Michael Kleiber, filed what

was docketed as a criminal complaint alleging civil rights violations under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in federal court in October 2023.³⁷ The case was dismissed without prejudice in December 2023 due to the plaintiff's failure to cure procedural deficiencies in the filing and failure to prosecute the case.³⁷ This indicates an attempt by an individual to pursue a civil rights claim, although it did not advance on its merits.

- **Homeless Sweeps Litigation Context:** While not defendants in these suits, DRM's facilities, particularly Lawrence Street, are frequently located in or near areas targeted by controversial city-led sweeps of homeless encampments.³⁸ Lawsuits like *Lyall v. Denver* and subsequent class actions led by attorneys like Jason Flores-Williams have challenged the constitutionality of these sweeps, alleging violations of due process and property rights (e.g., insufficient notice, destruction of belongings).³⁸ This litigation shapes the environment in which DRM operates and affects the stability and well-being of the population it serves, who may be displaced or lose property during sweeps near the shelter.⁴⁰

These additional legal actions, combined with the major discrimination and religious freedom cases, depict an organization navigating a complex legal environment, facing challenges related to disability access, employment practices, religious freedom boundaries, labor relations, and the contentious politics surrounding homelessness management in Denver.

Summary Table of Key Lawsuits

The following table summarizes the primary legal actions involving the Denver Rescue Mission discussed in this report:

Case/Issue	Key Allegations	Plaintiff(s)/Co-Complainant(s)	Status/Outcome	DRM Response/Involvement	Relevant Sources
HAND/Disability Access	ADA & State Law Violations; Denial of Access/Beds for Disabled Individuals	HAND, Individuals w/ Disabilities	Filed Feb 2025, Pending	Named Defendant, No Comment	⁵
Fischler/Employment	Discrimination (Sexuality, Religion, Disability); Retaliation; Hostile Work Env.	Kyle Fischler	DADO/CADO Complaints Filed Jul 2023, Pending Investigation	Employer, No Comment	⁶
Janny/Religious Freedom	Forced Religious Participation	Mark Janny	Settled (Director 2022, PO 2024),	Former Director Defendant	³²

	as Condition of Parole (Violation of 1st Amend.)		Damages Paid		
NLRB/Labor Practices	Unfair Labor Practices (Retaliation, Coercion, Interference w/ Rights)	Unnamed (Implied Employee/Union)	Settled May 2023	Employer	³⁶
Kleiber/Civil Rights	§ 1983 Civil Rights Violations (Unspecified Details)	Michael Kleiber	Dismissed Dec 2023 (Procedural)	Defendant	³⁷

IV. Controversies and Criticisms

Beyond formal lawsuits, the Denver Rescue Mission has been embroiled in public controversies and faced various criticisms regarding its policies, operations, and impact.

A. The LGBTQ+ Employee Policy Conflict

In the fall of 2022, DRM became the center of significant public controversy when it implemented revised employee handbook language that explicitly prohibited "acting on same sex attraction" and the "rejection of one's biological sex".²³ The organization initially defended this policy, stating it was intended to provide clarity on its core Christian values for employees, who are required to sign a statement agreeing to abide by the handbook's contents.²³ This policy immediately drew sharp criticism because it directly conflicted with non-discrimination clauses mandated in DRM's substantial contracts with the City of Denver, specifically an \$8.7 million contract with the Department of Housing Stability (HOST).²⁹ These contracts explicitly prohibit discrimination in employment based on sexual orientation and gender identity.³⁰ The policy also raised concerns about potential violations of state and federal anti-discrimination laws.⁴²

Following reporting by Denverite and subsequent public outcry from city officials, including Mayor Michael Hancock, Councilwoman Robin Kniech, and staff for Governor Jared Polis, DRM quickly reversed course.⁴¹ Within days of the policy becoming public, the organization announced it was pausing implementation of the handbook and would remove the specific phrases related to same-sex attraction and biological sex.²³ While reaffirming its commitment to its Statement of Faith, leadership acknowledged the need for continued dialogue.³⁰ Despite the policy's removal, the episode had lasting repercussions. It damaged trust with some city officials and community members⁴¹ and formed the backdrop for the subsequent discrimination lawsuit filed by former director Kyle Fischler, who alleged his firing was

connected to this controversy.⁶ The incident highlighted a fundamental tension between DRM's internal religious doctrines and its responsibilities as a major recipient of public funds operating in a jurisdiction with strong non-discrimination protections. The rapid reversal suggested an awareness of the legal and financial jeopardy the policy created, but the initial attempt raised enduring questions about the organization's underlying commitment to LGBTQ+ inclusion in its workforce.

B. Shelter Environment: Safety, Conditions, and Guest Experiences

Numerous accounts and data points raise concerns about the environment within DRM's shelters, sometimes contrasting with the organization's stated goals of providing safe and dignified care.

- **Safety and Security:** Reports from individuals who have stayed in shelters (including DRM and others in the area, indicating broader systemic issues) describe feelings of being unsafe.⁴⁴ Specific allegations against DRM include the presence of significant "drugs and violence," with one reviewer claiming staff slept on duty and encouraged residents to fight each other.²⁸ A migrant who stayed briefly at the Rescue Mission described it as "dangerous".⁴⁵ Data from 911 calls analyzed in 2017 showed a dramatic increase in calls from DRM's downtown shelters between 2014 and 2016, averaging 17 calls per day in 2016.⁴⁶ While many calls were medical, a significant number (392 in 2016, averaging over one per day) were related to assaults, fights, and sexual assaults.⁴⁶ Theft is also a reported concern, with advice given to keep valuables secured at all times.⁴⁷ The physical location of the Lawrence Street shelter also places it near areas known for public safety issues, including encampment sweeps and crime.³⁸
- **Cleanliness and Health:** Cleanliness is a frequent complaint among those hesitant to use shelters.⁴⁶ Specific criticisms include shelters being "disgusting," "dirty," and infested with bed bugs.⁴⁶ One reviewer alleged food safety violations at DRM, such as food left out, improper cleanup after illness, lack of visible inspection reports, and staff not using hair nets.²⁸ DRM leadership acknowledged that shelters can get messy with large numbers of guests but stated facilities are cleaned daily and regular heat treatments are performed to combat bed bugs, framing it as a "reality of the homeless population".⁴⁶ An investigative reporter who stayed overnight at Lawrence Street noted an "undeniable stench" but saw no bed bugs during his stay.⁴⁶ This area represents a potential conflict between the challenges of maintaining hygiene in a high-traffic shelter environment and meeting basic standards of health and sanitation.
- **Conditions and Crowding:** Even with available beds, the shelter environment can feel crowded and noisy.⁴⁶ Past issues at other large Denver shelters, like the Salvation Army's Crossroads facility, demonstrated how reluctance to turn people away could lead to severe overcrowding and dangerous fire code violations (e.g., deficient sprinklers, blocked exits, insufficient restrooms)⁴⁹, highlighting systemic pressures that could potentially affect any large shelter. While DRM's recent renovations aimed to improve space and comfort¹¹, the inherent nature of congregate shelter presents

challenges.

- **Staff Conduct:** Beyond the severe allegations of racial hostility from a former manager⁵⁰, other criticisms include staff being perceived as uncaring⁵, unresponsive to safety needs (like the request for a non-slip mat²⁸), or sleeping on duty.²⁸ This contrasts with DRM's emphasis on compassionate care¹⁰ and positive reviews from some volunteers.⁷

These varied accounts suggest that while DRM provides essential shelter, the guest experience can be challenging and, in some reported cases, unsafe or unsanitary. The recent renovations may have improved physical infrastructure, but concerns about safety protocols, staff training and supervision, and the overall atmosphere appear to persist in some narratives, potentially indicating deeper systemic issues beyond just the physical building.

C. Religious Influence: Policies and Perceptions

DRM's identity as a Christian organization significantly shapes its operations and public perception, leading to both appreciation and criticism.

- **Pervasive Religious Identity:** The organization's mission is explicitly "in the name of Christ"⁷, and its approach involves meeting spiritual needs.⁴ The "Jesus Saves" sign is its most prominent identifier.¹ Communications frequently reference faith, Jesus, prayer, and biblical principles.¹¹ Partnerships with churches are actively sought for volunteers, training, and fundraising.¹⁰
- **Varying Requirements:** DRM policy states that participation in religious activities, such as chapel services, is *not* a requirement for receiving basic emergency services like meals or shelter at the Lawrence Street facility.¹ This policy aims to ensure low-barrier access for immediate needs. However, deeper engagement with DRM often involves religious components. The New Life rehabilitation program requires participants to be "Open to the message of Jesus Christ"¹⁴, suggesting a level of religious receptiveness is expected for participation in this intensive, long-term program. The Janny lawsuit centered on allegations that religious participation was required for a parolee mandated to be at the Fort Collins facility, resulting in a settlement.³² Furthermore, employment at DRM strictly requires agreement with its Statement of Faith.¹²
- **Public Perception and Criticism:** This religious focus invites criticism. Some individuals view the organization negatively, characterizing its approach as "peddling Jesus for a place to eat/sleep".⁵¹ Concerns have been voiced about "forced religion" potentially being embedded in programs like work therapy.⁴⁷ The Janny lawsuit was framed by advocates as a case of forced religious conversion.³³ A former volunteer described the intense Christian atmosphere potentially pressuring non-believers working within the organization.⁴⁸

The distinction between voluntary emergency services and programs requiring religious openness or alignment is crucial. While individuals can access basic needs without religious participation, the strong faith-based identity and specific requirements for programs like NLP and employment can create barriers or discomfort for non-Christians or those unwilling to engage with religious content, particularly if referred through mandatory channels like the

justice system.

D. Workplace Culture and Employee Relations Allegations

Serious allegations have surfaced regarding the internal workplace culture and treatment of employees at the Denver Rescue Mission, particularly concerning discrimination and leadership conduct.

- **Discrimination and Hostility:** The lawsuit filed by Kyle Fischler includes allegations of discrimination based on his sexuality, religious views (presumably differing from leadership's), and disability, alongside claims of a hostile work environment created by leadership.²⁹ Perhaps more disturbing are the detailed allegations from a former high-level African American employee, published in an online review.⁵⁰ This individual claims to have experienced direct racial hostility from senior leaders, including then-CEO Brad Meuli and another senior figure, Steve Walkup. The allegations include a specific threat by Walkup to orchestrate a false sexual assault accusation against him using a white female caseworker, significant pay disparities compared to white colleagues managing smaller budgets, and a generally destructive and unwelcoming environment for employees of color, especially Black men.⁵⁰
- **Leadership Conduct:** The same former employee described the leadership as lacking genuine conviction in the mission and accused Meuli of openly flirting with young white female staff members.⁵⁰ This paints a picture of leadership behavior inconsistent with the organization's stated Christian values and professional standards.
- **Retaliation and Lack of Accommodation:** Fischler's claim that his firing was retaliatory following the LGBTQ+ policy controversy⁶ aligns with retaliation allegations noted in the settled NLRB case.³⁶ Fischler also alleges that DRM refused to provide reasonable accommodations for his diagnosed mental health conditions (PTSD, depression, anxiety) which he claims were exacerbated by the work environment.²⁹
- **Impact on Employees:** The former employee alleging racial hostility described the immense psychological toll of the experience and a lasting negative impact on his professional life.⁵⁰ Another account mentioned the potentially high-pressure religious environment affecting staff.⁴⁸

While DRM features positive employee testimonials on its website emphasizing the rewarding nature of the work¹², the severity and specificity of the negative allegations, particularly those involving racial discrimination, threats, and leadership misconduct, raise profound concerns about the internal culture, equity practices, and the integrity of senior leadership. The recurrence of discrimination and retaliation themes across different cases⁵⁰ suggests these may not be isolated incidents.

E. Service Delivery and Effectiveness Debates

Evaluating the effectiveness of DRM's programs in achieving its mission of returning individuals to self-sufficiency presents a mixed picture, marked by reported successes alongside criticisms and data limitations.

- **Stated Goals and Reported Successes:** DRM aims to help clients overcome barriers like addiction, poor finances, and unhealthy relationships to achieve sustainable employment and housing.¹⁴ The organization reports successes, such as 163 individuals and households transitioning to independent living through its programs in one year¹¹ and the Complex Case Team housing nearly half its clients within its first several months.²⁰ Numerous anecdotes highlight lives positively changed through DRM's interventions.¹⁹
- **Criticisms and Challenges:** Some former clients or observers have criticized program models. One comment described DRM's "work therapy" concept as flawed and outdated, and raised concerns about the "forced religion" aspect being risky and ineffective.⁴⁷ The high overall cost of addressing homelessness in Denver (estimated at \$26,000 per person annually from city and charitable sources in one report⁵³, with other studies citing even higher costs for chronically homeless individuals⁵⁴) invites broader questions about the efficiency and effectiveness of the existing system, of which DRM is a major part. An audit of Denver's homeless services conducted prior to HOST's formation noted a lack of a city-wide strategic plan and performance metrics.⁵⁵ Some individuals report being turned away or finding it difficult to access help⁵¹, while others have been unsuccessful in or removed from programs.⁵⁶
- **Data and Evaluation Gaps:** A significant challenge in assessing effectiveness is the lack of robust, independent evaluation data. Charity Navigator, a major nonprofit evaluator, explicitly states it currently lacks the necessary data to assess DRM's "Impact & Measurement".⁵⁷ While DRM publishes annual financial audits⁵⁸, these focus on financial health, not programmatic outcomes. Much of the available evidence regarding effectiveness relies on DRM's internal reporting and anecdotal success stories, making independent verification difficult.
- **Focus Shift:** There appears to be an ongoing evolution in approach, with increasing emphasis on coordinated strategies, partnerships, and potentially moving beyond solely managing emergency shelter towards prevention and rapid resolution.²²

While DRM undoubtedly provides essential emergency relief and facilitates positive outcomes for some individuals through its structured programs, the lack of comprehensive, independent data on long-term success rates makes it difficult to fully evaluate its effectiveness in achieving the ambitious goal of returning large numbers of people to sustained self-sufficiency.

F. Community Relations and Impact

As a large and highly visible organization, DRM's relationship with the broader Denver community is complex, involving extensive partnerships alongside significant friction points.

- **Collaboration and Partnerships:** DRM actively collaborates with numerous entities. It holds significant contracts with the City of Denver (HOST) for services like the 48th Avenue Center and the Complex Case Team.⁷ It receives referrals from and coordinates with healthcare providers, law enforcement, and the VA.²⁰ It partners with other

nonprofits (though sometimes positioned as an alternative, e.g., St. Francis ⁶⁰), engages extensively with churches for volunteers and support ¹⁰, and receives corporate support (e.g., Colorado Rockies food drives ⁶²). It participates in broader strategic efforts like the Homeless Leadership Council. ⁶³ Some partners view DRM as organized, intelligent, and adaptable. ²⁵

- **Volunteer Engagement:** The organization relies heavily on community volunteers for tasks like meal service. ⁷ Positive volunteer reviews suggest an organized and welcoming environment for contributors. ⁷
- **Neighborhood Tensions (NIMBYism):** The concentration of DRM's services, particularly the large Lawrence Street Shelter downtown, contributes to a high concentration of visible homelessness in the surrounding area. ⁶⁴ This has historically led to complaints from residents and businesses, particularly as neighborhoods like Ballpark/RiNo have gentrified. ⁶⁴ Controversial city sweeps of homeless encampments frequently occur in the vicinity of DRM facilities ³⁸, creating conflict and instability for DRM's client population. Debates arise over the fairness of concentrating services in certain neighborhoods. ⁶⁴ Similar concerns about neighborhood compatibility (parking, noise, operational intensity) were raised in an appeal against the expansion of the Fort Collins Rescue Mission. ⁶⁵
- **Role in Crises (Migrant Influx):** When large numbers of migrants began arriving in Denver in late 2022, DRM's Lawrence Street Shelter was an initial destination, quickly becoming overwhelmed. ⁶⁶ The city later directed migrants to DRM when dedicated migrant shelters were full. ⁴⁵ However, some migrants reported finding the traditional homeless shelter environment at DRM, with its existing population facing different challenges (e.g., mental illness, addiction), to be unsuitable or unsafe compared to the specific migrant shelters. ⁴⁵ This highlighted both DRM's role as a default resource and potential limitations in serving specific crisis populations.
- **Public Image:** The "Jesus Saves" sign makes DRM arguably the most visually recognized symbol of homelessness services in Denver. ¹ It receives substantial community financial support. ² However, its high profile also makes it a focal point for public debate and criticism regarding its policies, conditions, and the broader issue of homelessness in the city.

DRM operates as a major node in Denver's social service network, deeply reliant on community partnerships and support. Simultaneously, its physical presence and operations generate significant community impact, leading to tensions with immediate neighbors and placing it at the center of city-wide debates on homelessness management, service location, and crisis response.

V. Organizational Accountability and Response

Examining how the Denver Rescue Mission responds to legal challenges and public criticism, alongside external evaluations and internal feedback mechanisms, provides insight into its accountability practices.

A. DRM's Stance on Legal and Public Criticisms

DRM's public responses to scrutiny often appear measured and legally cautious.

- **Litigation:** The organization consistently adheres to a policy of not commenting on pending litigation. This has been its stated position regarding the HAND disability lawsuit⁵ and the Kyle Fischler employment discrimination case.⁶ This standard practice limits public insight into DRM's perspective on the specific allegations during active legal proceedings.
- **LGBTQ+ Policy Controversy:** Initially, DRM defended the discriminatory handbook language as an effort to clarify its Christian values.²³ However, faced with significant public pressure and the clear conflict with city contract requirements, the organization quickly reversed course, announcing the removal of the offending phrases while reaffirming its commitment to its underlying Statement of Faith.³⁰ This response demonstrated adaptability under pressure, particularly when funding was potentially at risk, but the initial defense and rapid reversal left questions about the depth of its commitment to non-discrimination principles versus contractual compliance.
- **Religious Freedom (Janny Case):** While DRM itself did not comment extensively (the defendant was the former director), the legal defense mounted by ADF on behalf of the director strongly asserted the organization's right to maintain its religious mission within its programs and argued against the "state actor" designation.³⁴ The eventual settlement occurred without an explicit admission of wrongdoing detailed in the provided sources.
- **Shelter Conditions:** When confronted with criticisms about cleanliness or difficult conditions, DRM leadership has acknowledged the challenges inherent in serving a large homeless population, sometimes framing issues like messiness or bed bugs as an unavoidable "reality," while simultaneously highlighting their cleaning protocols and recent renovations aimed at improvement.¹⁹
- **Accessibility:** DRM promotes its recent ADA-focused renovations as evidence of improving accessibility.¹⁹ However, beyond the "no comment" on the active HAND lawsuit, no direct public response was found addressing the specific, ongoing accessibility allegations raised in that suit which emerged *after* the renovations.
- **Workplace Culture Allegations:** No evidence was found in the provided materials of DRM publicly addressing the specific, severe allegations of racial hostility and leadership misconduct detailed by the former employee in the online review.⁵⁰

Overall, DRM's public communications strategy appears focused on managing legal risk and public image. Responses often involve legal Caution ("no comment"), framing issues within operational context, highlighting positive actions (like renovations), or yielding to external pressure when contracts are threatened (as in the LGBTQ+ policy case). Direct, transparent engagement with the substance of some of the most serious criticisms, particularly those concerning internal culture and leadership, seems limited based on available public records.

B. External Evaluations (Accreditation, Charity Ratings)

DRM participates in external evaluation processes, achieving high marks in certain areas, though these evaluations have limitations.

- **Evangelical Council for Financial Accountability (ECFA):** DRM has been an accredited member of ECFA since 1991.² ECFA accreditation signifies compliance with standards specific to Christian nonprofits regarding financial accountability, transparency, board governance, and ethical fundraising. This affiliation reinforces its identity within the faith-based nonprofit sector and signals adherence to certain financial best practices.
- **Charity Navigator:** The organization consistently receives high ratings from Charity Navigator, recently scoring 99% and earning a Four-Star rating.⁵⁷ This top rating is based entirely on Charity Navigator's "Accountability & Finance" beacon, where DRM scores well on metrics such as having a majority independent board, making its audits publicly available, listing key staff and board members online, maintaining a high program expense ratio (consistently above 82%), and demonstrating efficient fundraising.⁵⁷
- **Rating Limitations:** Crucially, Charity Navigator explicitly states that it *cannot currently evaluate* Denver Rescue Mission on several other key dimensions due to a lack of submitted data.⁵⁷ These unevaluated areas include:
 - **Impact & Measurement:** Assessing the actual effectiveness and outcomes of its programs.
 - **Culture & Community:** Evaluating practices related to constituent feedback and equity.
 - **Leadership & Adaptability:** Gauging leadership capacity, strategic planning, and ability to innovate or respond to change. Furthermore, while DRM has a donor privacy policy posted, Charity Navigator notes it is an "opt-out" policy, affording it only partial credit in that subcategory.⁵⁷

The high ratings from ECFA and Charity Navigator indicate that DRM meets established standards for financial management and basic governance transparency. However, these evaluations offer limited insight into the very areas where significant controversies and criticisms have arisen – namely, program effectiveness, equity practices (regarding disability, LGBTQ+ status, race), internal workplace culture, and responsiveness to client feedback. Relying solely on these financial and governance-focused ratings would provide an incomplete picture of the organization's overall performance and accountability.

C. Transparency and Feedback Channels

DRM utilizes several channels for communication and feedback, though the robustness of mechanisms for addressing substantive grievances is less clear.

- **Financial and Governance Transparency:** DRM meets standard transparency expectations by making its audited financial statements and IRS Form 990 publicly available, often posting them on its website.⁵⁷ It also lists board members and key staff

online.⁵⁷

- **Website and Contact Information:** The organization's website provides comprehensive information about its mission, programs, locations, and ways for the public to get involved through donations or volunteering.¹ Multiple contact forms are available for general inquiries, donation-specific questions, address updates, changes to mail preferences, student inquiries, and reporting website or email errors.⁶⁷
- **Feedback Mechanisms:** A specific webpage and form exist inviting users to "Tell Us About Your Experience" through a survey.⁶⁸ A confirmation page indicates successful submission.⁶⁹ There is also a process mentioned for contacting program participants or guests, though details are not provided.⁶⁷
- **Potential Gaps:** While general contact forms and surveys exist, the provided materials do not outline a clear, formal, and accessible grievance process specifically for clients or employees raising concerns about treatment, conditions, or discrimination. The lack of data submitted to Charity Navigator regarding "Constituent Feedback" practices further suggests this may not be a well-developed or publicly articulated system.⁵⁷ Coupled with the tendency towards "no comment" on controversial issues, it appears that while channels for routine communication exist, pathways for substantive feedback and transparent resolution of serious complaints may be less robust or visible.

DRM fulfills basic transparency requirements, particularly concerning finances. However, mechanisms for ensuring accountability through robust client feedback loops and transparent engagement with significant criticisms appear less developed or publicly emphasized compared to its financial reporting.

VI. Conclusion and Recommendations

A. Synthesis of Findings

The Denver Rescue Mission, operating prominently under the well-known "Jesus Saves" banner of its Lawrence Street Shelter, occupies a critical and long-standing position in Denver's response to homelessness. For over 130 years, it has provided essential emergency services, including shelter and meals, alongside more intensive rehabilitation and transitional programs rooted in its explicit Christian mission. Recent developments, such as major renovations at Lawrence Street focusing on ADA compliance and the launch of specialized initiatives like the Complex Case Team and a planned Prevention Program, demonstrate a capacity for adaptation and responsiveness to evolving needs and funding opportunities. However, this extensive service provision exists alongside a significant history of legal challenges and public controversies. Lawsuits alleging systemic disability discrimination, employment discrimination based on sexuality and disability (linked to a retracted anti-LGBTQ+ policy), and religious coercion of parolees point to potential conflicts between DRM's practices and legal requirements for non-discrimination and religious freedom. Criticisms regarding shelter safety, cleanliness, staff conduct, and the actual effectiveness of its programs persist, sometimes contrasting sharply with the organization's public image and stated goals. Allegations from former employees regarding a discriminatory and potentially

hostile workplace culture, particularly concerning race and leadership conduct, raise serious questions about internal equity and integrity.

A recurring theme is the tension between DRM's deeply held identity as a faith-based Christian organization and the expectations placed upon it as a major recipient of public funding subject to secular laws and contractual obligations. While DRM meets standards for financial accountability, as reflected in external ratings, these evaluations currently lack the data to assess crucial areas like program impact, equity practices, and constituent feedback – precisely the areas where most criticisms lie. The organization's public responses to controversy often appear legally cautious, limiting transparency and direct engagement with the substance of many allegations.

Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of the Denver Rescue Mission requires acknowledging its vital role in providing services while critically considering the documented legal issues, persistent criticisms, and questions surrounding its operational practices, internal culture, and overall effectiveness in achieving its mission in an equitable and fully compliant manner.

B. Actionable Recommendations for Stakeholders

Based on the findings of this report, the following recommendations are offered to key stakeholders to promote greater accountability, transparency, and effectiveness:

For Denver Rescue Mission:

1. **Enhance Transparency and Engagement:** Move beyond basic financial reporting. Publicly release detailed, disaggregated data on program outcomes and long-term effectiveness, ideally verified by independent evaluators. Establish and clearly publicize a formal, accessible grievance procedure for both clients and employees. Engage substantively with public criticism and legal allegations where possible, rather than relying solely on "no comment," to rebuild trust.
2. **Strengthen and Verify Non-Discrimination Practices:** Conduct a thorough internal audit of all policies, procedures, staff training, and facility accessibility related to ADA compliance, LGBTQ+ inclusion (in both employment and service access), and racial equity. Ensure practices align not only with the letter of the law and contracts but also with the spirit of providing dignified and equitable service to all. Seek external review or certification of these practices.
3. **Improve Shelter Environment and Safety:** Implement rigorous protocols to address documented concerns about safety (including violence and drug use), cleanliness (including pest control and food handling), and staff conduct within emergency shelters. Enhance staff training on de-escalation, trauma-informed care, cultural competency, and disability accommodation. Actively solicit and demonstrably respond to guest feedback regarding shelter conditions.
4. **Clarify and Ensure Voluntary Religious Participation:** Maintain absolute clarity regarding the voluntary nature of religious activities for all emergency and transitional services. For programs with faith components (like NLP), ensure fully informed consent and provide secular pathways or referrals where possible, particularly for individuals referred by government agencies or those expressing discomfort. Eliminate any

perception of coercion.

5. **Address Workplace Culture Allegations:** Commission an independent investigation into the serious allegations of toxic leadership, racial hostility, and discriminatory practices raised by former employees. Implement concrete measures based on findings to foster an equitable, respectful, and psychologically safe environment for all staff, with clear accountability for leadership.

For City of Denver (HOST, City Council, Mayor's Office):

6. **Strengthen Contract Oversight and Enforcement:** Implement more robust monitoring mechanisms for all city contracts with homeless service providers, including DRM. Require regular, detailed reporting on compliance with non-discrimination clauses (ADA, LGBTQ+, race, etc.), client demographics, staff diversity, and grievance resolution. Make contract renewal explicitly contingent on demonstrated compliance and responsiveness to identified deficiencies.
7. **Mandate Independent Program Evaluations:** Fund or require regular, independent evaluations of the effectiveness, accessibility, and equity of city-funded programs operated by DRM and other providers. Focus on long-term client outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and experiences of diverse client subgroups. Use findings to inform funding decisions and system improvements.
8. **Promote Systemic Solutions and Accessibility:** Continue investing in system-wide strategies like coordinated entry, Housing First models, and prevention programs to reduce reliance on congregate emergency shelters. Ensure that accessibility for people with disabilities is a non-negotiable requirement in all funded programs and facilities, verified through rigorous oversight.

For Donors and Funders (Foundations, Individuals):

9. **Conduct Enhanced Due Diligence:** Look beyond basic financial ratings. Directly inquire about DRM's specific policies, practices, and outcomes related to non-discrimination (ADA, LGBTQ+, race), independent program evaluation results, client feedback systems, and concrete responses to public controversies before making funding decisions.
10. **Support Targeted Improvements:** Consider directing philanthropic support towards specific initiatives that address identified gaps, such as funding for enhanced accessibility features, specialized staff training on equity and inclusion, independent program evaluations, or innovative prevention efforts.
11. **Leverage Influence for Accountability:** Use the collective voice of donors and funders to advocate for greater transparency, responsiveness, and adherence to best practices in non-discrimination and service delivery from DRM leadership.

For the Community and Advocates:

12. **Maintain Vigilance and Monitoring:** Continue to track the progress and outcomes of ongoing lawsuits (HAND, Fischler). Monitor DRM's adherence to its stated policies, particularly regarding non-discrimination and accessibility, through independent observation and reporting.
13. **Amplify Client and Employee Voices:** Create and support safe platforms for individuals served by DRM and former/current employees to share their experiences –

both positive and negative – to provide a more complete picture and advocate for necessary changes.

14. **Support a Diverse Service Ecosystem:** Advocate for and support a range of homeless service providers in Denver, ensuring that individuals have choices and access to services that best meet their needs and respect their identities and beliefs, including secular options.

Works cited

1. Lawrence Street Shelter (Accredited Organization Profile) - ECFA.org, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.ecfa.org/MemberProfile.aspx?Type=Subsidiary&ID=5651>
2. Denver Rescue Mission (Accredited Organization Profile) - ECFA.org, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.ecfa.org/MemberProfile.aspx?ID=5646>
3. John Parvensky figured out how to solve the homelessness crisis. Now he's out of time. - The Colorado Sun, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://coloradosun.com/2022/08/25/homeless-coalition-john-parvensky/>
4. Denver Rescue Mission - Pledge, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.pledge.to/organizations/84-6038762/denver-rescue-mission>
5. Lawsuit claims Denver shelters aren't accessible for people with disabilities experiencing homelessness - CBS News, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.cbsnews.com/colorado/news/lawsuit-denver-shelters-not-accessible-disabilities-homelessness/>
6. Complaint: Denver Rescue Mission fires employee because of his sexuality - YouTube, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HY1hV27UeVU>
7. Denver Rescue Mission volunteer opportunities | VolunteerMatch, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.volunteermatch.org/search/org8430.jsp>
8. Shelters for People Experiencing Homelessness: A Lifeline for ..., accessed April 23, 2025, <https://native.denverpost.com/shelters-for-people-experiencing-homelessness-a-lifeline-for-those-in-need/>
9. Our Mission - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/who-we-are/our-mission/>
10. Church Engagement - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/get-involved/church-engagement/>
11. The Path to New Life Starts Here - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/ways-to-give/give-monthly/updates/>
12. Careers - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/careers/>
13. Fellowship Program at the Mission - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/fellowship-program-at-the-mission/>
14. Get Help - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/get-help/>
15. Denver Rescue Mission, Changing Lives - ADIGO, accessed April 23, 2025,

- <https://blog.adigo.com/denver-rescue-mission-changing-lives/>
16. Meal Serve Volunteers at The Crossing/Denver Rescue Mission - VolunteerMatch, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.volunteermatch.org/search/opp3843263.jsp>
 17. How We Help - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/what-we-do/how-we-help/>
 18. Ministry Outreach Center - Client Services - Administrative Change Maker - Denver, CO, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.volunteermatch.org/search/opp3043949.jsp>
 19. Let There Be Light: Lawrence Street Shelter - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/let-there-be-light-lawrence-street-shelter/>
 20. Denver Rescue Mission takes on housing people with complex challenges - CBS Colorado, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.cbsnews.com/colorado/news/denver-rescue-mission-housing-people-complex-challenges-spread-warmth/>
 21. Complex Cases Referral - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/complexcases/>
 22. Can We - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, https://denverrescuemission.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/March_2025_DRM_Newsletter.pdf
 23. The Denver Rescue Mission bans employees from "acting on same sex attraction" and rejecting one's "biological sex" - Denverite, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverite.com/2022/11/18/the-denver-rescue-mission-bans-employees-from-acting-on-same-sex-attraction-and-rejecting-ones-biological-sex/>
 24. 2021 Action Plan - City and County of Denver, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.denvergov.org/files/assets/public/housing-stability/documents/action-plan-with-2b.pdf>
 25. Chris Conner on Why Denver Rescue Mission Matters, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/chris-conner-on-why-denver-rescue-mission-matters/>
 26. Denver funds homeless shelters accused of discrimination - Denverite, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverite.com/2024/12/27/denver-shelters-disability-discrimination-lawsuit/>
 27. Illuminate: Bringing to Light the Pressing Issues of Homelessness - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/illuminateonline/>
 28. Denver Rescue Mission Reviews and Ratings | Denver, CO | Donate, Volunteer, Review | GreatNonprofits, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://greatnonprofits.org/org/denver-rescue-mission>
 29. The Denver Rescue Mission fired an employee over his sexuality, religion and disability, according to legal complaints - Denverite, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverite.com/2023/07/10/the-denver-rescue-mission-fired-an-employee-over-his-sexuality-religion-and-disability-according-to-legal-complaints/>
 30. Denver Rescue Mission Removing Discriminatory Language From Employee

- Handbook, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.westword.com/news/denver-rescue-mission-walks-back-anti-lgbtq-language-in-handbook-15522642>
31. www.9news.com, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.9news.com/article/news/local/lgbtq/denver-rescue-mission-changes-plan-to-ban-lgbtq-employees/73-e7fcf7dc-b1c0-4dfb-890f-df1da8a55f5c#:~:text=DENVER%20%E2%80%94%20The%20Denver%20Rescue%20Mission,million%20contract%20with%20the%20city.>
 32. Janny v. Gamez - Americans United for Separation of Church and State, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.au.org/how-we-protect-religious-freedom/legal-cases/cases/janny-v-gamez/>
 33. Denver Rescue Mission sued over forced religion conversion of the homeless - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/homeless/comments/h17mhf/denver_rescue_mission_sued_over_forced_religion/
 34. Carmack v. Janny - ADF Media, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://adfmedia.org/case/carmack-v-janny/>
 35. ADF asks US Supreme Court: Must rescue missions choose either their faith or accepting parolees? | ADFMedia.org, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://adfmedia.org/press-release/adf-asks-us-supreme-court-must-rescue-missions-choose-either-their-faith-or-accepting>
 36. Denver Rescue Mission | National Labor Relations Board, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.nlr.gov/case/27-CA-295882>
 37. Kleiber (PS) v. Denver Rescue Mission 1:2023cv02727 | U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado - Justia Dockets, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://dockets.justia.com/docket/colorado/codce/1:2023cv02727/228439>
 38. Homeless Sweeps: Local Lawyer Prepares Class Action Suit Against Denver | Westword, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.westword.com/news/homeless-sweeps-lawyer-prepares-class-action-suit-against-denver-8209264>
 39. Report raps Aurora for citations against homeless people - Sentinel Colorado, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://sentinelcolorado.com/metro/report-raps-aurora-citations-homeless-people/>
 40. Denver Hit by Federal Class-Action Lawsuit Over Homeless Camp Sweeps, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.westword.com/news/denver-sued-over-homeless-encampment-sweeps-during-covid-19-pandemic-11815562>
 41. Denver Rescue Mission changes policy banning LGBTQ+ employees | 9news.com, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.9news.com/article/news/local/lgbtq/denver-rescue-mission-changes-plan-to-ban-lgbtq-employees/73-e7fcf7dc-b1c0-4dfb-890f-df1da8a55f5c>
 42. Denver Rescue Mission says it'll remove anti-LGBTQ language from employee handbook, accessed April 23, 2025,

- <https://denverite.com/2022/11/21/denver-rescue-mission-anti-lgbtq-language-handbook/>
43. Denver Councilmember Blasts Anti-Queer Denver Rescue Mission Policy, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.outfrontmagazine.com/denver-councilmember-blasts-anti-queer-denver-rescue-mission-policy/>
 44. A 62-year-old Denver man's journey from home, to homeless, to a new apartment, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://coloradosun.com/2025/01/23/denver-homeless-new-apartment-st-francis-ronnie-hoover/>
 45. Denver moved 277 migrants into shelter — as 443 more arrived, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://coloradosun.com/2024/01/05/denver-migrant-encampment-shelter/>
 46. We asked 100 homeless people if they'd rather sleep outside or in a shelter - 9News, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.9news.com/article/news/investigations/we-asked-100-homeless-people-if-theyd-rather-sleep-outside-or-in-a-shelter/73-493418852>
 47. Has anyone ever been through the homeless shelters in Denver? - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Denver/comments/175l5la/has_anyone_ever_been_through_the_homeless/
 48. The Denver Rescue Mission fired an employee over his sexuality, religion and disability, according to legal complaints - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Denver/comments/14wh5gb/the_denver_rescue_mission_fired_an_employee_over/
 49. How a Salvation Army homeless shelter became a potential deathtrap in Denver's trendiest neighborhood - Denverite, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverite.com/2017/06/21/salvation-army-homeless-shelter-became-potential-deathtrap-denvers-trendiest-neighborhood/>
 50. An Insider's Review of Denver Rescue Mission - Sermon Outlines, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.sermondownload.net/post/an-insider-s-review-of-denver-rescue-mission>
 51. Is the Denver rescue mission a worthy organization to donate to? - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Denver/comments/ubbtze/is_the_denver_rescue_mission_a_worthy/
 52. Denver Rescue Mission: End Homelessness One Life at a Time, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://denverrescuemission.org/>
 53. Denver Initiative 300:, accessed April 23, 2025, https://www.downtowndenver.com/wp-content/uploads/REMI_Report_Initiative_300.pdf
 54. Homelessness in Metro Denver - Common Sense Institute, accessed April 23, 2025, <https://www.commonsenseinstituteus.org/colorado/research/housing-and-our-c>

[community/homelessness-in-metro-denver-an-opportunity-to-transform-resources--the-existing-system](#)

55. AUDIT REPORT Homeless Services - Denver's Road Home, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://denvergov.org/files/assets/public/auditor/documents/audit-services/audit-reports/2019/homeless-services-april-2019-final.pdf>
56. A homeless recovery campus at an old fort on the plains is so successful Colorado is making more, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://coloradosun.com/2023/10/16/homeless-recovery-campus-fort-lyon/>
57. Rating for Denver Rescue Mission - Charity Navigator, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.charitynavigator.org/ein/846038762>
58. financial statements - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025,
https://denverrescuemission.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/2410_DRM_2024_Financial-Statements.pdf
59. financial statements - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://denverrescuemission.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/DRM-2022-FS.pdf>
60. Is there seriously anything I can do for the homeless this weekend?? : r/Denver - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Denver/comments/1i41sfb/is_there_seriously_anything_i_can_do_for_the/
61. Homeless Assistance Options : r/Denver - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Denver/comments/15k7m4c/homeless_assistance_options/
62. Denver draws backlash over opening day preparations - Straight Arrow News, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://san.com/cc/denver-draws-backlash-over-opening-day-preparations/>
63. Denver's Right to Survive Initiative Raises Questions Not Easily Put to Rest | Westword, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.westword.com/news/denvers-right-to-survive-initiative-raises-questions-not-easily-put-to-rest-11292222>
64. Denver Rescue Mission's doors locked based on complaint from Ballpark Neighborhood Association - Reddit, accessed April 23, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/Denver/comments/3pit14/denver_rescue_missions_door_s_locked_based_on/
65. appeal-rescue-mission-notice-of-appeal-mendoza.pdf - City of Fort Collins, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.fcgov.com/cityclerk/files/appeal-rescue-mission-notice-of-appeal-mendoza.pdf>
66. Denver Rallied Behind Arriving Immigrants. Now Its Homeless Population Feels Shortchanged. - ProPublica, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://www.propublica.org/article/denver-colorado-migrants-unhoused>
67. Contact Us - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://denverrescuemission.org/who-we-are/contact-us/>
68. Tell Us About Your Experience - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://denverrescuemission.org/tell-us-about-your-experience/>

69. Thank You for Your Feedback - Denver Rescue Mission, accessed April 23, 2025,
<https://denverrescuemission.org/nps-thank-you/>